S. 2046

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

September 22, 2000

Referred to the Committee on Science, and in addition to the Committee on Commerce, the Committee on Resources and the Committee on Agriculture, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

AN ACT

To reauthorize the Next Generation Internet Act, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Federal Research In-
- 5 vestment Act".

1 TITLE I—FEDERAL RESEARCH 2 INVESTMENT

2	INVESTMENT
3	SEC. 101. GENERAL FINDINGS REGARDING FEDERAL IN-
4	VESTMENT IN RESEARCH.
5	(a) VALUE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—The
6	Congress makes the following findings with respect to the
7	value of research and development to the United States:
8	(1) Federal investment in research has resulted
9	in the development of technology that has saved lives
10	in the United States and around the world.
11	(2) The research and development investment
12	across all Federal agencies has been effective in cre-
13	ating technology that has enhanced the American
14	quality of life.
15	(3) The Federal investment in research and de-
16	velopment conducted or underwritten by both mili-
17	tary and civilian agencies has produced benefits that
18	have been felt in both the private and public sector.
19	(4) Discoveries across the spectrum of scientific
20	inquiry have the potential to raise the standard of
21	living and the quality of life for all Americans.
22	(5) Science, engineering, and technology play a
23	critical role in shaping the modern world.
24	(6) Studies show that about half of all United
25	States post-World War II economic growth is a di-

- rect result of technical innovation; science, engineering, and technology contribute to the creation of new goods and services, new jobs and new capital.
 - (7) Technical innovation is the principal driving force behind the long-term economic growth and increased standards of living of the world's modern industrial societies. Other nations are well aware of the pivotal role of science, engineering, and technology, and they are seeking to exploit it wherever possible to advance their own global competitiveness.
 - (8) Federal programs for investment in research, which lead to technological innovation and result in economic growth, should be structured to address current funding disparities and develop enhanced capability in States and regions that currently are underrepresented in the national science and technology enterprise.
- 18 (b) STATUS OF THE FEDERAL INVESTMENT.—The
 19 Congress makes the following findings with respect to the
 20 status of the Federal investment in research and develop21 ment activities:
- 22 (1) Civilian research and development expendi-23 tures reached their pinnacle in the mid-1960s due to 24 the Apollo Space program, declining for several 25 years thereafter. Despite significant growth in the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

late 1980s and early 1990s, these expenditures, in constant dollars, have not returned to the levels of the 1960s.

(2) Fiscal realities now challenge Congress and the President to steer the Federal Government's role in science, engineering, and technology in a manner that ensures a prudent use of limited public resources. There is both a long-term problem—addressing the ever-increasing level of mandatory spending—and a near-term challenge—apportioning a dwindling amount of discretionary funding to an increasing range of targets in science, engineering, and technology. This confluence of increased national dependency on technology, increased targets of opportunity, and decreased fiscal flexibility has created a problem of national urgency. Many indicators show that more funding for science, engineering, and technology is needed but, even with increased funding, priorities must be established among different programs. The United States cannot afford the luxury of fully funding all deserving programs.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

SEC. 102. SPECIAL FINDINGS REGARDING HEALTH-RE-

- 2 LATED RESEARCH.
- The Congress makes the following findings with re-
- 4 spect to health-related research:

States population.

- 5 (1) Health and economic benefits pro-6 VIDED BY HEALTH-RELATED RESEARCH.—Because 7 of health-related research, cures for many debili-8 tating and fatal diseases have been discovered and 9 deployed. At present, the medical research commu-10 nity is on the cusp of creating cures for a number 11 of leading diseases and their associated burdens. In 12 particular, medical research has the potential to de-13 velop treatments that can help manage the esca-14 lating costs associated with the aging of the United
 - (2) Funding of Health-Related Research.—Many studies have recognized that clinical and basic science are in a state of crisis because of a failure of resources to meet the opportunity. Consequently, health-related research has emerged as a national priority and has been given significantly increased funding by Congress in both fiscal year 1999 and fiscal year 2000. In order to continue addressing this urgent national need, the pattern of substantial budgetary expansion begun in fiscal year 1999 should be maintained.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(3) Interdisciplinary nature of health-RELATED RESEARCH.—Because all fields of science and engineering are interdependent, full realization of the Nation's historic investment in health will depend on major advances both in the biomedical sciences and in other science and engineering dis-ciplines. Hence, the vitality of all disciplines must be preserved, even as special considerations are given to the health research field.

10 SEC. 103. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS REGARDING THE LINK BE-

11 TWEEN RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY.

The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Flow of science, engineering, and technology involves many steps. The present Federal science, engineering, and technology structure reinforces the increasingly artificial distinctions between basic and applied activities. The result too often is a set of discrete programs that each support a narrow phase of research or development and are not coordinated with one another. The Government should maximize its investment by encouraging the progression of science, engineering, and technology from the earliest stages of research up to a pre-commercialization stage, through funding agencies and

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- vehicles appropriate for each stage. This creates a flow of technology, subject to merit review at each stage, so that promising technology is not lost in a bureaucratic maze.
 - (2) Excellence in American University RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE.—Federal investment in science, engineering, and technology programs must foster a close relationship between research and education. Investment in research at the university level creates more than simply world-class research. It creates world-class researchers as well. The Federal strategy must continue to reflect this commitment to a strong geographically-diverse research infrastructure. Furthermore, the United States must find ways to extend the excellence of its university system to primary and secondary educational institutions and to better utilize the community college system to prepare many students for vocational opportunities in an increasingly technical workplace.
 - (3) COMMITMENT TO A BROAD RANGE OF RE-SEARCH INITIATIVES.—An increasingly common theme in many recent technical breakthroughs has been the importance of revolutionary innovations that were sparked by overlapping of research dis-

- ciplines. The United States must continue to encourage this trend by providing and encouraging opportunities for interdisciplinary projects that foster col-
- 4 laboration among fields of research.
- (4) Partnerships among industry, univer-6 SITIES, AND FEDERAL LABORATORIES.—Each of these contributors to the national science and tech-7 8 nology delivery system has special talents and abili-9 ties that complement the others. In addition, each 10 has a central mission that must provide their focus 11 and each has limited resources. The Nation's invest-12 ment in science, engineering, and technology can be 13 optimized by seeking opportunities for leveraging the 14 resources and talents of these three major players 15 through partnerships that do not distort the mis-16 sions of each partner. For that reason, Federal dol-17 lars are wisely spent forming such partnerships.

18 SEC. 104. MAINTENANCE OF FEDERAL RESEARCH EFFORT; 19 GUIDING PRINCIPLES.

- 20 (a) Maintaining United States Leadership in
- 21 Science, Engineering, and Technology.—It is im-
- 22 perative for the United States to nurture its superb re-
- 23 sources in science, engineering, and technology carefully
- 24 in order to maintain its own globally competitive position.

- 1 (b) Guiding Principles.—Federal research and de-2 velopment programs should be conducted in accordance 3 with the following guiding principles:
 - (1) Good science.—Federal science, engineering, and technology programs include both knowledge-driven science together with its applications, and mission-driven, science-based requirements. In general, both types of programs must be focused, peer- and merit-reviewed, and not unnecessarily duplicative, although the details of these attributes must vary with different program objectives.
 - (2) FISCAL ACCOUNTABILITY.—The Congress must exercise oversight to ensure that programs funded with scarce Federal dollars are well managed. The United States cannot tolerate waste of money through inefficient management techniques, whether by Government agencies, by contractors, or by Congress itself. Fiscal resources would be better utilized if program and project funding levels were predictable across several years to enable better project planning; a benefit of such predictability would be that agencies and Congress can better exercise oversight responsibilities through comparisons of a project's and program's progress against care-

- fully planned milestones and international bench marks.
 - (3) Program effectiveness.—The United States needs to make sure that Government programs achieve their goals. As the Congress crafts science, engineering, and technology legislation, it must include a process for gauging program effectiveness, selecting criteria based on sound scientific judgment and avoiding unnecessary bureaucracy. The Congress should also avoid the trap of measuring the effectiveness of a broad science, engineering, and technology program by passing judgment on individual projects. Lastly, the Congress must recognize that a negative result in a well-conceived and executed project or program may still be critically important to the funding agency.
 - (4) Criteria for Government funding.—
 Program selection for Federal funding should continue to reflect the Nation's 2 traditional research and development priorities: (A) basic, scientific, and technological research that represents investments in the Nation's long-term future scientific and technological capacity, for which Government has traditionally served as the principal resource; and (B) mission research investments, that is, investments in re-

1 search that derive from necessary public functions, 2 such as defense, health, education, environmental 3 protection, all of which may also raise the standard of living, which may include pre-commercial, pre-5 competitive engineering research and technology de-6 velopment. Additionally, Government funding should 7 not compete with or displace the short-term, market-8 driven, and typically more specific nature of private-9 sector funding. Government funding should be re-10 stricted to pre-competitive activities, leaving com-11 petitive activities solely for the private sector. As a 12 rule, the Government should not invest in commer-13 cial technology that is in the product development 14 stage, very close to the broad commercial market-15 place, except to meet a specific agency goal. When 16 the Government provides funding for any science, 17 engineering, and technology investment program, it 18 must take reasonable steps to ensure that the poten-19 tial benefits derived from the program will accrue 20 broadly.

21 SEC. 105. POLICY STATEMENT.

- 22 (a) Policy.—This title is intended to—
- 23 (1) assure a doubling of the base level of Fed-24 eral funding for basic scientific, biomedical, and pre-25 competitive engineering research, achieved by stead-

- ily increasing the annual funding of civilian research and development programs so that the total annual investment equals 10 percent of the Federal Government's discretionary budget by fiscal year 2011;
 - (2) invest in the future economic growth of the United States by expanding the research activities referred to in paragraph (1);
 - (3) enhance the quality of life and health for all people of the United States through expanded support for health-related research;
 - (4) allow for accelerated growth of individual agencies to meet critical national needs;
 - (5) guarantee the leadership of the United States in science, engineering, medicine, and technology;
 - (6) ensure that the opportunity and the support for undertaking good science is widely available throughout the United States by supporting a geographically-diverse research and development enterprise; and
- 21 (7) continue aggressive Congressional oversight 22 and annual budgetary authorization of the individual 23 agencies listed in subsection (b).
- 24 (b) AGENCIES COVERED.—The agencies and trust in-25 strumentality intended to be covered to the extent that

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1	they are engaged in science, engineering, and technology
2	activities for basic scientific, medical, or pre-competitive
3	engineering research by this title are—
4	(1) the National Institutes of Health, within the
5	Department of Health and Human Services;
6	(2) the National Science Foundation;
7	(3) the National Institute for Standards and
8	Technology, within the Department of Commerce;
9	(4) the National Aeronautics and Space Admin-
10	istration;
11	(5) the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Ad-
12	ministration, within the Department of Commerce;
13	(6) the Centers for Disease Control, within the
14	Department of Health and Human Services;
15	(7) the Department of Energy (to the extent
16	that it is not engaged in defense-related activities);
17	(8) the Department of Agriculture;
18	(9) the Department of Transportation;
19	(10) the Department of the Interior;
20	(11) the Department of Veterans Affairs;
21	(12) the Smithsonian Institution;
22	(13) the Department of Education;
23	(14) the Environmental Protection Agency;
24	(15) the Food and Drug Administration, within
25	the Department of Health and Human Services; and

1	(16) the Federal Emergency Management
2	Agency.
3	(c) Damage to Research Infrastructure.—A
4	funding trend equal to or lower than current budgetary
5	levels will lead to permanent damage to the United States
6	research infrastructure. This could threaten American
7	dominance of high-technology industrial leadership.
8	(d) FUTURE FISCAL YEAR ALLOCATIONS.—
9	(1) GOAL.—The goal of this title is to increase
10	the percentage of the Federal discretionary budget
11	allocated for civilian research and development by
12	0.3 percent annually to realize a total of 10 percent
13	of the Federal discretionary budget by fiscal year
14	2011.
15	(2) Amounts authorized.—There are author-
16	ized to be appropriated to the agencies listed in sub-
17	section (b) for civilian research and development the
18	following amounts:
19	(A) $$43,080,000,000$ for fiscal year 2001.
20	(B) $$45,160,000,000$ for fiscal year 2002.
21	(C) $$47,820,000,000$ for fiscal year 2003.
22	(D) \$50,540,000,000 for fiscal year 2004.
23	(E) $$53,410,000,000$ for fiscal year 2005.
24	(3) FISCAL YEARS 2006–2011.—There is author-
25	ized to be appropriated to the agencies listed in sub-

1	section (b) for civilian research and development for
2	each of the fiscal years 2006 through 2011 an
3	amount that, on the basis of projections of Federal
4	discretionary budget amounts as such projections be-
5	come available, will meet the goal established by
6	paragraph (1).
7	(4) Acceleration to meet national
8	NEEDS.—
9	(A) In general.—If an agency listed in
10	subsection (b) has an accelerated funding fiscal
11	year, then, except as provided by subparagraph
12	(C), the amount authorized by paragraph (2) or
13	determined under paragraph (3) for the fiscal
14	year following the accelerated funding fiscal
15	year shall be determined in accordance with
16	subparagraph (B).
17	(B) Exclusion of accelerated fund-
18	ING AGENCY.—The amount authorized to be ap-
19	propriated for civilian research and development
20	under this subparagraph for a fiscal year shall
21	be determined—
22	(i) by reducing the total amount that,
23	but for subparagraph (A), would be au-
24	thorized to be appropriated by paragraph

(2) or paragraph (3) by a percentage equal

to the percentage of the total amount authorized by that paragraph for the fiscal year preceding the accelerated funding fiscal year to the agency that had the accelerated funding fiscal year; and

- (ii) allocating the reduced amount among all agencies listed in subsection (b) other than the agency that had the accelerated funding fiscal year.
- (C) EXCEPTION TO ACCELERATED FUND-ING AGENCY RULE.—Subparagraph (B) does not apply if the amount appropriated to an agency for civilian research and development purposes for a fiscal year, adjusted for inflation (assuming an annual rate of inflation of 3 percent), does not exceed the amount appropriated to that agency for those purposes for fiscal year 2000 increased by 2.5 percent a year for each fiscal year after fiscal year 2000.
- (D) ACCELERATED FUNDING FISCAL YEAR DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term "accelerated funding fiscal year" means a fiscal year for which the amount appropriated to an agency for civilian research and development purposes is an increase of more than 8 percent

- 1 over the amount appropriated to that agency
- 2 for the preceding fiscal year for those purposes.
- 3 (e) Conformance with Budgetary Caps.—Not-
- 4 withstanding any other provision of law, no funds may be
- 5 made available under this title in a manner that does not
- 6 conform with the discretionary spending caps provided in
- 7 the most recently adopted concurrent resolution on the
- 8 budget or threatens the economic stability of the annual
- 9 budget.
- 10 (f) Balanced Research Portfolio.—Because of
- 11 the interdependent nature of the scientific and engineering
- 12 disciplines, the aggregate funding levels authorized by the
- 13 section assume that the Federal research portfolio will be
- 14 well-balanced among the various scientific and engineering
- 15 disciplines, and geographically dispersed throughout the
- 16 States.
- 17 (g) Congressional Authorization Process.—
- 18 The policies and authorizations in this Act establish min-
- 19 imum levels for the overall Federal civilian research port-
- 20 folio across the agencies listed in subsection (b) under the
- 21 procedures defined in subsection (d). The amounts author-
- 22 ized by subsection (d) establish a framework within which
- 23 the authorizing committees of the Congress are to work
- 24 when authorizing funding for specific Federal agencies en-
- 25 gaged in science, engineering, and technology activities.

1	SEC. 106. ANNUAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ANAL-
2	YSES.
3	The Director of the Office of Science and Technology
4	shall provide, no later than February 15th of each year,
5	a report to Congress that includes—
6	(1) a detailed summary of the total level of
7	funding for civilian research and development pro-
8	grams throughout all Federal agencies;
9	(2) a focused strategy that is consistent with
10	the funding projections of this title for each future
11	fiscal year until 2011, including specific targets for
12	each agency that funds civilian research and devel-
13	opment;
14	(3) an analysis which details funding levels
15	across Federal agencies by methodology of funding,
16	including grant agreements, procurement contracts,
17	and cooperative agreements (within the meaning
18	given those terms in chapter 63 of title 31, United
19	States Code);
20	(4) a Federal strategy for infrastructure devel-
21	opment and research and development capacity
22	building in States with less concentrated research
23	and development resources in order to create a na-
24	tionwide research and development community; and
25	(5) an annual analysis of the total level of fund-
26	ing for civilian research and development programs

1	throughout all Federal agencies as compared to the
2	previous fiscal year's Congressional budget appro-
3	priations for science, engineering, and technology ac-
4	tivities of the agencies described in section 105(b),
5	that details for the current fiscal year—
6	(A) how total funding levels compare to
7	those authorized according to section 105(d);
8	(B) how the differences in those funding
9	levels will affect the health, stability, and inter-
10	national standing of the Federal civilian re-
11	search and development infrastructure;
12	(C) how the disparities in those levels af-
13	fect the ability of the agencies covered by this
14	Act to perform their missions; and
15	(D) which agencies are excluded under this
16	Act due to accelerated funding and the aggre-
17	gate amount to be authorized to other agencies
18	under section 105(d).
19	SEC. 107. COMPREHENSIVE ACCOUNTABILITY STUDY FOR
20	FEDERALLY-FUNDED RESEARCH.
21	(a) STUDY.—The Director of the Office of Science
22	and Technology Policy shall enter into agreement with the
23	National Academy of Sciences for the Academy to conduct
24	a comprehensive study to develop methods for evaluating
25	federally funded research and development programs. The

1	Director shall report the results of the study to the Con-
2	gress not later than 18 months after the date of enactment
3	of this Act. This study shall—
4	(1) recommend processes to determine an ac-
5	ceptable level of success for federally funded re-
6	search and development programs by—
7	(A) describing the research process in the
8	various scientific and engineering disciplines;
9	(B) describing in the different sciences
10	what measures and what criteria each commu-
11	nity uses to evaluate the success or failure of a
12	program, and on what time scales these meas-
13	ures are considered reliable—both for explor-
14	atory long-range work and for short-range
15	goals; and
16	(C) recommending how these measures
17	may be adapted for use by the Federal Govern-
18	ment to evaluate federally funded research and
19	development programs;
20	(2) assess the extent to which civilian research
21	and development agencies incorporate independent
22	merit-based review into the formulation of their stra-
23	tegic plans and performance plans;

1	(3) recommend mechanisms for identifying fed-
2	erally funded research and development programs
3	which are unsuccessful or unproductive;
4	(4) evaluate the extent to which independent,
5	merit-based evaluation of federally funded research
6	and development programs and projects achieves the
7	goal of eliminating unsuccessful or unproductive pro-
8	grams and projects; and
9	(5) investigate and report on the validity of
10	using quantitative performance goals for aspects of
11	programs which relate to administrative manage-
12	ment of the program and for which such goals would
13	be appropriate, including aspects related to—
14	(A) administrative burden on contractors
15	and recipients of financial assistance awards;
16	(B) administrative burdens on external
17	participants in independent, merit-based evalua-
18	tions;
19	(C) cost and schedule control for construc-
20	tion projects funded by the program;
21	(D) the ratio of overhead costs of the pro-
22	gram relative to the amounts expended through
23	the program for equipment and direct funding
24	of research; and

1	(E) the timeliness of program responses to
2	requests for funding, participation, or equip-
3	ment use.
4	(6) examine the extent to which program selec-
5	tion for Federal funding across all agencies exempli-
6	fies our Nation's historical research and development
7	priorities—
8	(A) basic, scientific, and technological re-
9	search in the long-term future scientific and
10	technological capacity of the Nation; and
11	(B) mission research derived from a high-
12	priority public function.
13	(b) Alternative Forms for Performance
14	GOALS.—Not later than 6 months after transmitting the
15	report under subsection (a) to Congress, the Director of
16	the Office of Management and Budget, after public notice,
17	public comment, and approval by the Director of the Of-
18	fice of Science and Technology Policy and in consultation
19	with the National Science and Technology Council shall
20	promulgate one or more alternative forms for performance
21	goals under section 1115(b)(10)(B) of title 31, United
22	States Code, based on the recommendations of the study
23	under subsection (a) of this section. The head of each

24 agency containing a program activity that is a research

25 and development program may apply an alternative form

- 1 promulgated under this section for a performance goal to
- 2 such a program activity without further authorization by
- 3 the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.
- 4 (c) Strategic Plans.—Not later than one year
- 5 after promulgation of the alternative performance goals in
- 6 subsection (b) of this section, the head of each agency car-
- 7 rying out research and development activities, upon updat-
- 8 ing or revising a strategic plan under subsection 306(b)
- 9 of title 5, United States Code, shall describe the current
- 10 and future use of methods for determining an acceptable
- 11 level of success as recommended by the study under sub-
- 12 section (a).
- 13 (d) Definitions.—In this section:
- 14 (1) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means
- the Director of the Office of Science and Technology
- 16 Policy.
- 17 (2) Program activity.—The term "program
- activity" has the meaning given that term by section
- 19 1115(f)(6) of title 31, United States Code.
- 20 (3) Independent merit-based evalua-
- 21 TION.—The term "independent merit-based evalua-
- 22 tion" means review of the scientific or technical
- 23 quality of research or development, conducted by ex-
- 24 perts who are chosen for their knowledge of sci-

1	entific and technical fields relevant to the evaluation
2	and who—
3	(A) in the case of the review of a program
4	activity, do not derive long-term support from
5	the program activity; or
6	(B) in the case of the review of a project
7	proposal, are not seeking funds in competition
8	with the proposal.
9	(e) Authorization of Appropriations.—There
10	are authorized to be appropriated to carry out the study
11	required by subsection (a) \$600,000, which shall remain
12	available until expended.
13	SEC. 108. EFFECTIVE PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT PRO-
14	GRAM FOR FEDERALLY-FUNDED RESEARCH.
15	(a) In General.—Chapter 11 of title 31, United
16	States Code, is amended by adding at the end thereof the
17	
1,	following:
	following: "§ 1120. Accountability for research and develop-
18	"§ 1120. Accountability for research and develop-
18 19	"§ 1120. Accountability for research and develop- ment programs
18 19 20	"\$1120. Accountability for research and development programs "(a) IDENTIFICATION OF UNSUCCESSFUL Pro-
18 19 20 21 22	"\$1120. Accountability for research and development programs "(a) IDENTIFICATION OF UNSUCCESSFUL Programs.—Based upon program performance reports for
118 119 220 221 222 233	"\$1120. Accountability for research and development programs "(a) IDENTIFICATION OF UNSUCCESSFUL Programs.—Based upon program performance reports for each fiscal year submitted to the President under section

- 1 not meet an acceptable level of success as defined in sec-
- 2 tion 1115(b)(1)(B). Not later than 30 days after the sub-
- 3 mission of the reports under section 1116, the Director
- 4 shall furnish a copy of a report listing the program activi-
- 5 ties or component identified under this subsection to the
- 6 President and the Congress.
- 7 "(b) Accountability If No Improvement
- 8 Shown.—For each program activity or component that
- 9 is identified by the Director under subsection (a) as being
- 10 below the acceptable level of success for 2 fiscal years in
- 11 a row, the head of the agency shall no later than 30 days
- 12 after the Director submits the second report so identifying
- 13 the program, submit to the appropriate congressional com-
- 14 mittees of jurisdiction—
- 15 "(1) a concise statement of the steps necessary
- 16 to—
- 17 "(A) bring such program into compliance
- with performance goals; or
- 19 "(B) terminate such program should com-
- 20 pliance efforts fail; and
- 21 "(2) any legislative changes needed to put the
- steps contained in such statement into effect.".
- 23 (b) Conforming Amendments.—(1) The chapter
- 24 analysis for chapter 11 of title 31, United States Code,
- 25 is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

[&]quot;1120. Accountability for research and development programs.".

- 1 (2) Section 1115(f) of title 31, United States Code,
- 2 is amended by striking "section and sections 1116 through
- 3 1119," and inserting "section, sections 1116 through
- 4 1120,".

5 TITLE II—NETWORKING AND

6 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

- 7 SEC. 201. SHORT TITLE.
- 8 This title may be cited as the "Networking and Infor-
- 9 mation Technology Research and Development Act".
- 10 **SEC. 202. FINDINGS.**
- 11 The Congress makes the following findings:
- 12 (1) Information technology will continue to
- change the way Americans live, learn, and work. The
- information revolution will improve the workplace
- and the quality and accessibility of health care and
- 16 education and make Government more responsible
- and accessible. It is important that access to infor-
- mation technology be available to all citizens, includ-
- ing elderly Americans and Americans with disabil-
- 20 ities.
- 21 (2) Information technology is an imperative en-
- abling technology that contributes to scientific dis-
- ciplines. Major advances in biomedical research, pub-
- lic safety, engineering, and other critical areas de-

- 27 1 pend on further advances in computing and commu-2 nications. 3 (3) The United States is the undisputed global leader in information technology. (4) Information technology is recognized as a 6 catalyst for economic growth and prosperity. 7 (5) Information technology represents one of 8 the fastest growing sectors of the United States 9 economy, with electronic commerce alone projected 10 to become a trillion-dollar business by 2005. 11 Businesses producing computers, 12
 - (6) Businesses producing computers, semiconductors, software, and communications equipment account for one-third of the total growth in the United States economy since 1992.
 - (7) According to the United States Census Bureau, between 1993 and 1997, the information technology sector grew an average of 12.3 percent per year.
 - (8) Fundamental research in information technology has enabled the information revolution.
 - (9) Fundamental research in information technology has contributed to the creation of new industries and new, high-paying jobs.
 - (10) Our Nation's well-being will depend on the understanding, arising from fundamental research,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- of the social and economic benefits and problems arising from the increasing pace of information technology transformations.
 - (11) Scientific and engineering research and the availability of a skilled workforce are critical to continued economic growth driven by information technology.
 - (12) In 1997, private industry provided most of the funding for research and development in the information technology sector. The information technology sector now receives, in absolute terms, onethird of all corporate spending on research and development in the United States economy.
 - (13) The private sector tends to focus its spending on short-term, applied research.
 - (14) The Federal Government is uniquely positioned to support long-term fundamental research.
 - (15) Federal applied research in information technology has grown at almost twice the rate of Federal basic research since 1986.
 - (16) Federal science and engineering programs must increase their emphasis on long-term, high-risk research.
 - (17) Current Federal programs and support for fundamental research in information technology is

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 inadequate if we are to maintain the Nation's global
- 2 leadership in information technology.

3 SEC. 203. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

- 4 (a) National Science Foundation.—Section
- 5 201(b) of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991
- 6 (15 U.S.C. 5521(b)) is amended—
- 7 (1) by striking "From sums otherwise author-
- 8 ized to be appropriated, there" and inserting
- 9 "There";
- 10 (2) by striking "1995; and" and inserting
- 11 "1995;"; and
- 12 (3) by striking the period at the end and insert-
- ing "; \$580,000,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- \$699,300,000 for fiscal year 2001; \$728,150,000 for
- 15 fiscal year 2002; \$801,550,000 for fiscal year 2003;
- and \$838,500,000 for fiscal year 2004. Amounts au-
- thorized under this subsection shall be the total
- amounts authorized to the National Science Founda-
- tion for a fiscal year for the Program, and shall not
- be in addition to amounts previously authorized by
- 21 law for the purposes of the Program.".
- 22 (b) National Aeronautics and Space Adminis-
- 23 TRATION.—Section 202(b) of the High-Performance Com-
- 24 puting Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5522(b)) is amended—

- 1 (1) by striking "From sums otherwise author-2 ized to be appropriated, there" and inserting 3 "There"; (2) by striking "1995; and" and inserting 4 5 "1995;"; and 6 (3) by striking the period at the end and insert-7 ing \$164,400,000 for fiscal vear 2000; 8 \$201,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; \$208,000,000 for 9 fiscal year 2002; \$224,000,000 for fiscal year 2003; 10 and \$231,000,000 for fiscal year 2004.". 11 (c) DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.—Section 203(e)(1) of 12 the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 13 5523(e)(1)) is amended— 14 (1) by striking "1995; and" and inserting "1995;"; and 15 16 (2) by striking the period at the end and insert-17 \$119,500,000 for fiscal ing vear 2000; 18 \$175,000,000 for fiscal year 2001; \$220,000,000 for 19 fiscal year 2002; \$250,000,000 for fiscal year 2003;
- 21 (d) National Institute of Standards and

and \$300,000,000 for fiscal year 2004.".

- 22 Technology.—(1) Section 204(d)(1) of the High-Per-
- 23 formance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5524(d)(1))
- 24 is amended—

- 1 (A) by striking "1995; and" and inserting
- 2 "1995;"; and
- 3 (B) by striking "1996; and" and inserting
- 4 "1996; \$9,000,000 for fiscal year 2000; \$9,500,000
- 5 for fiscal year 2001; \$10,500,000 for fiscal year
- 6 2002; \$16,000,000 for fiscal year 2003; and
- 7 \$17,000,000 for fiscal year 2004; and".
- 8 (2) Section 204(d) of the High-Performance Com-
- 9 puting Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5524(d)) is amended by
- 10 striking "From sums otherwise authorized to be appro-
- 11 priated, there" and inserting "There".
- 12 (e) National Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin-
- 13 ISTRATION.—Section 204(d)(2) of the High-Performance
- 14 Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5524(d)(2)) is
- 15 amended—
- 16 (1) by striking "1995; and" and inserting
- 17 "1995;"; and
- 18 (2) by striking the period at the end and insert-
- 19 ing "; \$13,500,000 for fiscal year 2000;
- 20 \$13,900,000 for fiscal year 2001; \$14,300,000 for
- 21 fiscal year 2002; \$14,800,000 for fiscal year 2003;
- and \$15,200,000 for fiscal year 2004.".
- 23 (f) Environmental Protection Agency.—Sec-
- 24 tion 205(b) of the High-Performance Computing Act of
- 25 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5525(b)) is amended—

- 1 (1) by striking "From sums otherwise author-
- 2 ized to be appropriated, there" and inserting
- 3 "There";
- 4 (2) by striking "1995; and" and inserting
- 5 "1995;"; and
- 6 (3) by striking the period at the end and insert-
- 7 ing "; \$4,200,000 for fiscal year 2000; \$4,300,000
- 8 for fiscal year 2001; \$4,500,000 for fiscal year
- 9 2002; \$4,600,000 for fiscal year 2003; and
- 10 \$4,700,000 for fiscal year 2004.".
- 11 (g) National Institutes of Health.—Title II of
- 12 the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C.
- 13 5521 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 205
- 14 the following new section:
- 15 "SEC. 205A. NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH ACTIVITIES.
- 16 "(a) General Responsibilities.—As part of the
- 17 Program described in title I, the National Institutes of
- 18 Health shall support activities directed toward estab-
- 19 lishing University-based centers of excellence pursuing re-
- 20 search and training in areas of intersection of information
- 21 technology and the biomedical, life sciences, and behav-
- 22 ioral research; research and development on technologies
- 23 and processes to better manage genomic and related life
- 24 science data bases; and, computation infrastructure for
- 25 and related research on modeling and simulation, as ap-

- 1 plied to biomedical, life science, and behavioral research.
- 2 In pursuing the above programs and in support of its mis-
- 3 sion of biomedical, life sciences, and behavioral research,
- 4 National Institutes of Health should work in close co-
- 5 operation with agencies involved in related information
- 6 technology research and application efforts.
- 7 "(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
- 8 are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of
- 9 Health and Human Services for the purposes of the Pro-
- 10 gram \$223,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$233,000,000
- 11 for fiscal year 2001, \$242,000,000 for fiscal year 2002,
- 12 \$250,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, and \$250,000,000 for
- 13 fiscal year 2004.".
- 14 SEC. 204. NETWORKING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
- 15 RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.
- 16 (a) National Science Foundation.—Section 201
- 17 of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15
- 18 U.S.C. 5521) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
- 19 lowing new subsections:
- 20 "(c) Networking and Information Technology
- 21 Research and Development.—(1) Of the amounts au-
- 22 thorized under subsection (b), \$350,000,000 for fiscal
- 23 year 2000, \$421,000,000 for fiscal year 2001,
- 24 \$442,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$486,000,000 for fis-
- 25 cal year 2003, and \$515,000,000 for fiscal year 2004 shall

- 1 be available for grants for long-term basic research on net-
- 2 working and information technology, with priority given
- 3 to research that helps address issues related to high end
- 4 computing and software; network stability, fragility, reli-
- 5 ability, security (including privacy and counterinitiatives),
- 6 and scalability; and the social and economic consequences
- 7 (including the consequences for healthcare) of information
- 8 technology.
- 9 "(2) In each of the fiscal years 2000 and 2001, the
- 10 National Science Foundation shall award under this sub-
- 11 section up to 25 large grants of up to \$1,000,000 each,
- 12 and in each of the fiscal years 2002, 2003, and 2004, the
- 13 National Science Foundation shall award under this sub-
- 14 section up to 35 large grants of up to \$1,000,000 each.
- 15 "(3)(A) Of the amounts described in paragraph (1),
- 16 \$40,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$45,000,000 for fiscal
- 17 year 2001, \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$55,000,000
- 18 for fiscal year 2003, and \$60,000,000 for fiscal year 2004
- 19 shall be available for grants of up to \$5,000,000 each for
- 20 Information Technology Research Centers.
- 21 "(B) For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'Infor-
- 22 mation Technology Research Centers' means groups of six
- 23 or more researchers collaborating across scientific and en-
- 24 gineering disciplines on large-scale long-term research
- 25 projects which will significantly advance the science sup-

- 1 porting the development of information technology or the
- 2 use of information technology in addressing scientific
- 3 issues of national importance.
- 4 "(d) Major Research Equipment.—(1) In addi-
- 5 tion to the amounts authorized under subsection (b), there
- 6 are authorized to be appropriated to the National Science
- 7 Foundation \$70,000,000 for fiscal year 2000,
- 8 \$70,000,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$80,000,000 for fiscal
- 9 year 2002, \$80,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, and
- 10 \$85,000,000 for fiscal year 2004 for grants for the devel-
- 11 opment of major research equipment to establish terascale
- 12 computing capabilities at one or more sites and to promote
- 13 diverse computing architectures. Awards made under this
- 14 subsection shall provide for support for the operating ex-
- 15 penses of facilities established to provide the terascale
- 16 computing capabilities, with funding for such operating
- 17 expenses derived from amounts available under subsection
- 18 (b).
- 19 "(2) Grants awarded under this subsection shall be
- 20 awarded through an open, nationwide, peer-reviewed com-
- 21 petition. Awardees may include consortia consisting of
- 22 members from some or all of the following types of institu-
- 23 tions:
- 24 "(A) Academic supercomputer centers.
- 25 "(B) State-supported supercomputer centers.

1	"(C) Supercomputer centers that are supported
2	as part of federally funded research and development
3	centers.
4	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, regulation, or
5	agency policy, a federally funded research and develop-
6	ment center may apply for a grant under this subsection,
7	and may compete on an equal basis with any other appli-
8	cant for the awarding of such a grant.
9	"(3) As a condition of receiving a grant under this
10	subsection, an awardee must agree—
11	"(A) to connect to the National Science Foun-
12	dation's Partnership for Advanced Computational
13	Infrastructure network;
14	"(B) to the maximum extent practicable, to co-
15	ordinate with other federally funded large-scale com-
16	puting and simulation efforts; and
17	"(C) to provide open access to all grant recipi-
18	ents under this subsection or subsection (c).
19	"(e) Information Technology Education and
20	Training Grants.—
21	"(1) Information technology grants.—
22	The National Science Foundation shall provide
23	grants under the Scientific and Advanced Tech-
24	nology Act of 1992 for the purposes of section 3 (a)
25	and (b) of that Act, except that the activities sup-

ported pursuant to this paragraph shall be limited to improving education in fields related to information technology. The Foundation shall encourage institutions with a substantial percentage of student enrollments from groups underrepresented in information technology industries to participate in the competition for grants provided under this paragraph.

- "(2) Internship grants.—The National Science Foundation shall provide—
 - "(A) grants to institutions of higher education to establish scientific internship programs in information technology research at private sector companies; and
 - "(B) supplementary awards to institutions funded under the Louis Stokes Alliances for Minority Participation program for internships in information technology research at private sector companies.
- "(3) MATCHING FUNDS.—Awards under paragraph (2) shall be made on the condition that at least an equal amount of funding for the internship shall be provided by the private sector company at which the internship will take place.
- "(4) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this subsection, the term 'institution of higher education'

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 has the meaning given that term in section 1201(a)
- of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C.
- 3 1141(a)).

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- "(5) FUNDS.—Of AVAILABILITY OF the 5 amounts described in subsection (c)(1), \$10,000,000 6 for fiscal year 2000, \$15,000,000 for fiscal year 7 2001, \$20,000,000 for fiscal 2002. vear 8 \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, and \$25,000,000 9 for fiscal year 2004 shall be available for carrying
- 11 "(f) EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH.—

out this subsection.

- "(1) Research program.—As part of its responsibilities under subsection (a)(1), the National Science Foundation shall establish a research program to develop, demonstrate, assess, and disseminate effective applications of information and computer technologies for elementary and secondary education. Such program shall—
 - "(A) support research projects, including collaborative projects involving academic researchers and elementary and secondary schools, to develop innovative educational materials, including software, and pedagogical approaches based on applications of information and computer technology;

- "(B) support empirical studies to determine the educational effectiveness and the cost effectiveness of specific, promising educational approaches, techniques, and materials that are based on applications of information and computer technologies; and
 - "(C) include provision for the widespread dissemination of the results of the studies carried out under subparagraphs (A) and (B), including maintenance of electronic libraries of the best educational materials identified accessible through the Internet.
 - "(2) Replication.—The research projects and empirical studies carried out under paragraph (1) (A) and (B) shall encompass a wide variety of educational settings in order to identify approaches, techniques, and materials that have a high potential for being successfully replicated throughout the United States.
 - "(3) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the amounts authorized under subsection (b), \$10,000,000 for fiscal year 2000, \$10,500,000 for fiscal year 2001, \$11,000,000 for fiscal year 2002, \$12,000,000 for fiscal year 2003, and \$12,500,000

- 1 for fiscal year 2004 shall be available for the pur-
- 2 poses of this subsection.
- 3 "(g) PEER REVIEW.—All grants made under this sec-
- 4 tion shall be made only after being subject to peer review
- 5 by panels or groups having private sector representation.".
- 6 (b) OTHER PROGRAM AGENCIES.—
- 7 (1) National aeronautics and space ad-
- 8 MINISTRATION.—Section 202(a) of the High-Per-
- 9 formance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C.
- 10 5522(a)) is amended by inserting ", and may par-
- 11 ticipate in or support research described in section
- 201(c)(1)" after "and experimentation".
- 13 (2) Department of Energy.—Section 203(a)
- of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991
- 15 (15 U.S.C. 5523(a)) is amended by striking the pe-
- riod at the end and inserting a comma, and by add-
- ing after paragraph (4) the following:
- 18 "conduct an integrated program of research, development,
- 19 and provision of facilities to develop and deploy to sci-
- 20 entific and technical users the high performance com-
- 21 puting and collaboration tools needed to fulfill the statu-
- 22 tory mission of the Department of Energy, and may par-
- 23 ticipate in or support research described in section
- 24 201(c)(1).".

1	(3) National institute of standards and
2	TECHNOLOGY.—Section 204(a)(1) of the High-Per-
3	formance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C.
4	5524(a)(1)) is amended by striking "; and" at the
5	end of subparagraph (C) and inserting a comma
6	and by adding after subparagraph (C) the following
7	"and may participate in or support research de-
8	scribed in section $201(c)(1)$; and".
9	(4) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC AD-
10	MINISTRATION.—Section 204(a)(2) of the High-Per-
11	formance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C.
12	5524(a)(2)) is amended by inserting ", and may
13	participate in or support research described in sec-
14	tion 201(c)(1)" after "agency missions".
15	(5) Environmental protection agency.—
16	Section 205(a) of the High-Performance Computing
17	Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5525(a)) is amended by in-
18	serting ", and may participate in or support re-
19	search described in section 201(c)(1)" after "dynam-
20	ies models".
21	(6) United states geological survey.—
22	Title II of the High-Performance Computing Act of
23	1991 (15 U.S.C. 5521 et seq.) is amended—

(A) by redesignating sections 207 and 208

as sections 208 and 209, respectively; and

24

1	(B) by inserting after section 206 the fol-
2	lowing new section:
3	"SEC. 207. UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY.
4	"The United States Geological Survey may partici-
5	pate in or support research described in section
6	201(e)(1).".
7	SEC. 205. NEXT GENERATION INTERNET.
8	(a) In General.—Section 103(d) of the High-Per-
9	formance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5513(d)) is
10	amended—
11	(1) in paragraph (1)—
12	(A) by striking "1999 and" and inserting
13	"1999,"; and
14	(B) by inserting ", \$15,000,000 for fiscal
15	year 2001, and \$15,000,000 for fiscal year
16	2002" after "fiscal year 2000";
17	(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting ", and
18	\$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2001 and $$25,000,000$
19	for fiscal year 2002" after "Act of 1998";
20	(3) in paragraph (4)—
21	(A) by striking "1999 and" and inserting
22	"1999,"; and
23	(B) by inserting ", \$10,000,000 for fiscal
24	year 2001, and \$10,000,000 for fiscal year
25	2002" after "fiscal year 2000": and

1 (4) in paragraph (5)— 2 (A) by striking "1999 and" and inserting "1999,"; and 3 (B) by inserting ", \$5,500,000 for fiscal 4 year 2001, and \$5,500,000 for fiscal year 5 6 2002" after "fiscal year 2000". 7 (b) Rural Infrastructure.—Section 103 of the 8 High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5513) is amended by adding at the end thereof the fol-10 lowing: 11 "(e) Rural Infrastructure.—Out of appropriated 12 amounts authorized by subsection (d), not less than 10 percent of the total amounts shall be made available to fund research grants for making high-speed connectivity 15 more accessible to users in geographically remote areas. The research shall include investigations of wireless, hy-16 brid, and satellite technologies. In awarding grants under this subsection, the administering agency shall give pri-18 ority to qualified, post-secondary educational institutions 19 20 that participate in the Experimental Program to Stimu-21 late Competitive Research.". 22 (c) Minority and Small College Internet Ac-23 CESS.—Section 103 of the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5513), as amended by subsection

(b), is further amended by adding at the end thereof the 2 following: 3 "(f) MINORITY AND SMALL COLLEGE INTERNET AC-CESS.—Not less than 5 percent of the amounts made 5 available for research under subsection (d) shall be used for grants to institutions of higher education that are His-6 panic-serving, Native American, Native Hawaiian, Native 8 Alaskan, Historically Black, or small colleges and univer-9 sities.". 10 (d) DIGITAL DIVIDE STUDY.— 11 (1) In General.—The National Academy of 12 Sciences shall conduct a study to determine the ex-13 tent to which the Internet backbone and network in-14 frastructure contribute to the uneven ability to ac-15 cess to Internet-related technologies and services by 16 rural and low-income Americans. The study shall 17 include— 18 (A) an assessment of the existing geo-19 graphical penalty (as defined in section 7(a)(1)20 of the Next Generation Internet Research Act 21 of 1998 (15 U.S.C. 5501 nt.)) and its impact 22 on all users and their ability to obtain secure

and reliable Internet access;

1	(B) a review of all current federally funded
2	research to decrease the inequity of Internet ac-
3	cess to rural and low-income users; and
4	(C) an estimate of the potential impact of
5	Next Generation Internet research institutions
6	acting as aggregators and mentors for nearby
7	smaller or disadvantaged institutions.
8	(2) Report.—The National Academy of
9	Sciences shall transmit a report containing the re-
10	sults of the study and recommendations required by
11	paragraph (1) to the Senate Committee on Com-
12	merce, Science, and Transportation and the House
13	of Representatives Committee on Science within 1
14	year after the date of enactment of this Act.
15	(3) Authorization of appropriations.—
16	There are authorized to be appropriated to the Na-
17	tional Academy of Sciences such sums as may be
18	necessary to carry out this subsection.
19	SEC. 206. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.
20	Section 101 of the High-Performance Computing Act
21	of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5511) is amended—
22	(1) in subsection (b)—
23	(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1)
24	through (5) as subparagraphs (A) through (E),
25	respectively;

1	(B) by inserting "(1)" after "ADVISORY
2	COMMITTEE.—"; and
3	(C) by adding at the end the following new
4	paragraph:
5	"(2) In addition to the duties outlined in paragraph
6	(1), the advisory committee shall conduct periodic evalua-
7	tions of the funding, management, implementation, and
8	activities of the Program, the Next Generation Internet
9	program, and the Networking and Information Tech-
10	nology Research and Development program, and shall re-
11	port not less frequently than once every 2 fiscal years to
12	the Committee on Science of the House of Representatives
13	and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transpor-
14	tation of the Senate on its findings and recommendations.
15	The first report shall be due within 1 year after the date
16	of the enactment of the Federal Research Investment
17	Act."; and
18	(2) in subsection (c) (1)(A) and (2), by insert-
19	ing ", including the Next Generation Internet pro-
20	gram and the Networking and Information Tech-
21	nology Research and Development program" after
22	"Program" each place it appears.
23	SEC. 207. REPORT TO CONGRESS.
24	Section 103 of the High-Performance Computing Act
25	of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5513), as amended by section 205 of

this title, is further amended by redesignating subsections (b), (c), and (d) as subsections (e), (d), and (e), respec-3 tively, and by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection: "(b) Report to Congress.— 5 6 "(1) Requirement.—The Director of the Na-7 tional Science Foundation shall conduct a study of 8 the issues described in paragraph (3), and not later 9 than 1 year after the date of the enactment of the 10 Federal Research Investment Act, shall transmit to 11 the Congress a report including recommendations to 12 address those issues. Such report shall be updated 13 annually for 6 additional years. 14 "(2) Consultation.—In preparing the reports 15 under paragraph (1), the Director of the National 16 Science Foundation shall consult with the National 17 Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National 18 Institute of Standards and Technology, and such 19 other Federal agencies and educational entities as 20 the Director of the National Science Foundation 21 considers appropriate. 22 "(3) Issues.—The reports shall— 23 "(A) identify the current status of high-

speed, large bandwidth capacity access to all

1	public elementary and secondary schools and li-
2	braries in the United States;
3	"(B) identify how high-speed, large band-
4	width capacity access to the Internet to such
5	schools and libraries can be effectively utilized
6	within each school and library;
7	"(C) consider the effect that specific or re-
8	gional circumstances may have on the ability of
9	such institutions to acquire high-speed, large
10	bandwidth capacity access to achieve universal
11	connectivity as an effective tool in the education
12	process; and
13	"(D) include options and recommendations
14	for the various entities responsible for elemen-
15	tary and secondary education to address the
16	challenges and issues identified in the reports."
17	SEC. 208. STUDY OF ACCESSIBILITY TO INFORMATION
18	TECHNOLOGY.
19	Section 201 of the High-Performance Computing Act
20	of 1991 (15 U.S.C. 5524), as amended by sections 3(a)
21	and 4(a) of this Act, is amended further by inserting after
22	subsection (g) the following new subsection:
23	"(h) Study of Accessibility to Information
24	TECHNOLOGY.—

1	"(1) Study.—Not later than 90 days after the
2	date of the enactment of the Federal Research In
3	vestment Act, the Director of the National Science
4	Foundation, in consultation with the National Insti
5	tute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research, shall
6	enter into an arrangement with the National Re
7	search Council of the National Academy of Sciences
8	for that Council to conduct a study of accessibility
9	to information technologies by individuals who are
10	elderly, individuals who are elderly with a disability
11	and individuals with disabilities.
12	"(2) Subjects.—The study shall address—
13	"(A) current barriers to access to informa
14	tion technologies by individuals who are elderly
15	individuals who are elderly with a disability
16	and individuals with disabilities;
17	"(B) research and development needed to
18	remove those barriers;
19	"(C) Federal legislative, policy, or regu
20	latory changes needed to remove those barriers
21	and
22	"(D) other matters that the National Re
23	search Council determines to be relevant to ac
24	cess to information technologies by individuals

1	who are elderly, individuals who are elderly with
2	a disability, and individuals with disabilities.
3	"(3) Transmittal to congress.—The Direc-
4	tor of the National Science Foundation shall trans-
5	mit to the Congress within 2 years of the date of the
6	enactment of the Federal Research Investment Act
7	a report setting forth the findings, conclusions, and
8	recommendations of the National Research Council
9	"(4) Federal agency cooperation.—Fed-
10	eral agencies shall cooperate fully with the National
11	Research Council in its activities in carrying out the
12	study under this subsection.
13	"(5) Availability of funds.—Funding for
14	the study described in this subsection shall be avail-
15	able, in the amount of \$700,000, from amounts de-
16	scribed in subsection $(c)(1)$.".
17	SEC. 209. COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY.
18	Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment
19	of this Act, the Comptroller General shall transmit to the
20	Congress a report on the results of a detailed study ana-
21	lyzing the effects of this Act, and the amendments made
22	by this Act, on lower income families, minorities, and
23	women.
	Passed the Senate September 21, 2000.
	Attest: GARY SISCO,

Secretary.